

# UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

## BA EXAMINATION

for Internal Students

This paper is also taken by Combined Studies Students

## PHILOSOPHY

Optional Subject (h): Mathematical Logic

Answer THREE questions.

1.
  - i. For  $\Sigma$  a set of sentences Sentential Logic it holds that “if  $\Sigma \models \phi$ , then there is some finite  $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$  such that  $\Sigma_0 \models \phi$ ”. Use this to prove the compactness theorem.
  - ii. Assume that every finite subset of  $\Sigma$  is satisfiable. Show that, for any propositional sentence  $\alpha$ , the same holds for at least one of  $\Sigma \cup \{\alpha\}$  and  $\Sigma \cup \{\neg\alpha\}$ .
  - iii. Let  $\Delta$  be a set of sentences of Sentential Logic such that every finite subset of  $\Delta$  is satisfiable and for every sentence  $\phi$ ,  $\phi \in \Delta$  or  $\neg\phi \in \Delta$ . Define the truth valuation  $v$  by  $v(P) = \top$  if  $P \in \Delta$  and  $v(P) = \perp$  if  $P \notin \Delta$  for each propositional variable  $P$ . Show that for every sentence  $\phi$  we have:  $\bar{v}(\phi) = \top$  iff  $\phi \in \Delta$ .
2.
  - i. State the soundness theorem for Predicate Logic and show how this theorem entails that the Predicate Calculus is consistent.
  - ii. State the completeness theorem for the Predicate calculus and show how it follows from the Consistency Lemma: “every consistent set is satisfiable.”
  - iii. Prove the compactness theorem: if  $\Phi$  is a set of formulas such that every finite subset of  $\Phi$  is satisfiable, then  $\Phi$  is satisfiable.

You may assume the soundness and completeness of the Predicate Calculus.

TURN OVER

3. Show the following facts, using the Truth Definition.

- (i)  $\alpha \rightarrow \exists x\beta$  and  $\exists x(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$  are logically equivalent if  $x$  does not occur free in  $\alpha$ .
- (ii)  $\exists x(Ax \rightarrow \forall xAx)$  is true in all structures.
- (iii)  $\exists x(\exists xAx \rightarrow Ax)$  is true in all structures.

4. Suppose  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  is a deduction of  $B \rightarrow Ax$  from  $\Phi$  where  $A$  is a unary predicate symbol and the variable  $x$  does not occur free in  $\Phi$  or  $B$ . Prove that  $\Phi \vdash B \rightarrow \forall xAx$  by induction on  $n$  (i.e., show that  $\Phi \vdash B \Rightarrow \forall x\phi_k$  for all  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ ).

In your proof you may use all tautologies plus the axioms

- (i)  $\forall x(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\forall x\alpha \rightarrow \forall x\beta)$
- (ii)  $\alpha \rightarrow \forall x\alpha$ , for  $x$  not free in  $\alpha$
- (iii)  $\forall x_1\forall x_2\dots\forall x_n\alpha$  for any variables  $x_1\dots x_n$  and  $\alpha$  any axiom of the Predicate Calculus.

5. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the first order language of arithmetic and let the binary predicate letter ' $<$ ' be defined by  $t < s \equiv_{df} \exists y(y \neq 0 \wedge t + y \approx s)$ . Consider the set

$$\Sigma_1 = \{\forall x\neg(x < x), \forall x\forall y\forall z((x < y \wedge y < z) \rightarrow x < z)\}$$

- i. Show, by giving a deduction, that  $\Sigma_1 \vdash \forall x\forall y(x < y \rightarrow \neg y < x)$   
(Hint: show that  $\Sigma_1 \cup \{(a < b), (b < a)\}$  is inconsistent for  $a, b$  not occurring in  $\Sigma$  and proceed from there.)
- ii. Let  $\Sigma_2 = \Sigma_1 \cup \{\forall x\exists y(x < y)\}$   
Argue that  $\Sigma_2$  has no finite models.

TURN OVER

6. Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be the standard structure of natural numbers with domain  $N$ . For every  $n \in N$  let  $s_n$  be the name of  $n$  in the first-order language of arithmetic and let  $y$  be a fixed variable.

(i) Show that

$$\Sigma = Th(\mathfrak{N}) \cup \{s_n < y \mid n \in N\}$$

is satisfiable (where  $Th(\mathfrak{N})$  is the set of sentences true on the standard structure of natural number).

(ii) Let  $\omega$  be the rule

“If  $\Gamma \vdash \phi_{s_n}^x$  for every  $n \in N$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash \forall x\phi$ .”

Show that  $\Sigma$  is inconsistent when this rule is added to the Predicate Calculus.

7. Let  $\Gamma$  be a set of sentences in the language of arithmetic that is maximal w.r.t. the property that  $\Gamma \not\vdash \alpha$  for some fixed  $\alpha$  (maximality means here that if  $\beta \notin \Gamma$  then  $\Gamma \cup \{\beta\} \vdash \alpha$ ).

(i) Show that  $\Gamma$  is complete.

(ii) Given that  $A_E \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq Th(\mathfrak{N})$ , outline a proof that  $\Gamma$  is not axiomatizable (where  $Th(\mathfrak{N})$  is the set of sentences true on the standard structure of natural number).

8. Outline a proof of Tarski’s Undefinability Theorem and discuss its connection with Gödel’s Incompleteness Theorem.

9. i. Let  $\Gamma$  be a recursive set of sentences. Argue that the relation  $Ded_\Gamma(x, y)$  given by

$$Ded_\Gamma(x, y) \iff x \text{ is the code of a sentence and } y \text{ is the code of sequence-of-formulas that constitutes a deduction of that sentence from } \Gamma,$$

is recursive.

ii. Outline a proof that a relation  $P$  is recursively enumerable if and only if it is weakly representable in  $A_E$ .

TURN OVER

10.
  - i. Show how to construct for every positive integer  $n$  a first-order sentence  $\alpha_n$  containing no extralogical symbols, such that, for every first-order structure  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\models_{\mathcal{U}} \alpha_n$  iff the domain of  $\mathcal{U}$  has exactly  $n$  elements.
  - ii. Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of first-order sentences that has no infinite models. Prove that there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\Sigma$  has no models whose domain contains more than  $n$  elements. State clearly the main result you use in your proof.
  - iii. Find a first-order sentence  $\beta$ , containing a binary predicate symbol  $P$ , but no other predicate symbols, no individual constants and no function symbols, such that  $\beta$  has no finite model and such that for any infinite set  $U$  there is a model  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\beta$  having  $U$  as domain. Justify your answer.
11. Given that  $A_E$  is a finitely axiomatized theory in the first-order language of arithmetic, such that every recursive relation is representable in  $A_E$ , prove:
  - i. For any recursive relation  $R$  there is a formula that represents  $R$  weakly in any theory  $\Sigma$  in the language of arithmetic such that  $A_E \cup \Sigma$  is consistent.
  - ii. If  $\Sigma$  is a theory in the language of arithmetic such that  $A_E \cup \Sigma$  is consistent, then  $\Sigma$  is not recursively decidable.

END OF PAPER