

1. What are the strengths and weaknesses of the view that knowledge is closed under known implication?
2. “Contextualism provides a natural response to the sceptic about knowledge.” Discuss.
3. Does perceptual experience immediately justify belief? If so, how could that be?
4. Compare and contrast internalism and externalism about justification.
5. Is truth the primary epistemic goal?
6. “Rational credence should sum to unity across a logical partition; and it should be updated by the rule of Jeffrey conditionalisation.” Discuss.
7. Does our use of *reductio ad absurdum* make it clear that some kind of epistemic commitment should be deductively cogent?
8. “Since Probabilism explains how rational credence should work, there is no point to the epistemology of Lockean coarse belief.” Discuss.
9. Is self-knowledge based on perception, inference, or neither?
10. What role, if any, does agency play in accounting for our knowledge of our judgements?
11. Suppose that Oscar’s belief contents about natural kinds are externally individuated. Can Oscar retain first person authority over his beliefs, if he slow-switches between Earth and Twin-Earth?
12. “An unacceptable consequence of combining externalism about our mental states with privileged access to our mental states is that we have privileged access to the world.” Discuss.
13. Can the concept of knowledge be analyzed?
14. Does Bonjour succeed in showing that the notion of a non-inferentially justified belief is incoherent?
15. Can externalism be rejected on the grounds that it treats epistemically irresponsible beliefs as knowledge?

PLEASE TURN OVER

16. Critically discuss the traditional problem of induction along with two responses to it.
17. Critically discuss the new riddle of induction along with two responses to it.

**END OF PAPER**